

Office Action Summary <i>REPLY BY</i> <i>MAILED 5-2-07 8-2-07</i>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/804,599	EGERESI, ZOLTAN	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tuan N. Nguyen	3751	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/9/07.

2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 09 2007

TOILET ODOR BLOCKING SYSTEM, METHODE OF USE AND TOILET BIDET

Inventor: Zoltan Egeresi, 5500 Coast Rd. Santa Cruz, CA. USA 831/425-4512

Fax 831 425-7888, US citizen, Email: Zoltan49@starband.net

Application No.: 10/392,257 ---Current Application 10/804,599, Filing date: 03/19/2004

Art unit: 3751, Examiner: Tuan N. Nguyen

To: US Patent Office, fax 571/273-8300

2 pages

Ref Office Action Summery mailed on 5/2/07

Hi Mr. Nguyen:

I am trying to decipher your objections mailed to me after my last "corrections, amendments" and need your help to point out exactly what needs to be done instead of referring to 37 CFR this and that with all of it's amendments, it is becoming very confusing. I faxed you a clear and amended version for prior approval, but you did not enter the final mailed version for examination. If the 3/19/04 version is usable I will not make any additional changes to it unless you can specify what I need to do in plain English.

The Status is not final, and as you mentioned during our last conversation my claim is not being rejected for prior art similarity reason, therefore I still have a chance for getting a patent for my invention..

In the claim section 1-3 are pending, 2-3 withdrawn than claim 1 is rejected.??

It is rather confusing it said it is rejected, than you say it is not, so what is it?

Election /Restriction

I was advised, that since I had more invention claims on my application, I needed to restrict it one to the bubbler section of the Toilet Odor Blocking section, anything else should be a dependent claim. In my view it was a basic common sense decision, and not as an error what needed to be point out. Just trying to follow PTO-s requirements.

Information Disclosure Statement

If I understand it well, I will have to redo the Information Disclosure naming only the examiner cited prior arts.

Drawing objections ; Minor changes in notations, clear and understandable.

Claim Objections/Rejection; I think I understand the required corrections

Please call me or advise by fax or E mail so I can proceed to make all corrections this week.

Thank you, Zoltan Egeresi